Imperial College London



Artificial Intelligence in medical imaging

Professor Daniel Rueckert, FREng, FIEEE Biomedical Image Analysis Group Department of Computing Imperial College London, UK





Artificial Intelligence is everywhere!





Autonomous navigation







Image and speech understanding

Artificial Intelligence: A lot has happened over the last 40 years

Biol. Cybernetics 36, 193-202 (1980)



Neocognitron: A Self-organizing Neural Network Model for a Mechanism of Pattern Recognition Unaffected by Shift in Position

Kunihiko Fukushima NHK Broadcasting Science Research Laboratories, Kinuta, Setagaya, Tokyo, Japan

K. Fukushima, 1980





What about AI in Medicine or Radiology?





AI In Medicine: Rise Of The Machines (Forbes, 2017)

MIT Technology Review

NEW YORKER

APRIL 3, 2017 ISSUE

A.I. VERSUS M.D.

What happens when diagnosis is automated?

By Siddhartha Mukherjee



Al Is Continuing Its Assault on Radiologists

A new model can detect abnormalities in x-rays better than radiologists — in some parts of the body, anyway.



Should we worry about AI?

"They should stop training radiologists now." Geoffrey Hinton (godfather of deep learning) in 2017

"To the question, will AI replace radiologists, I say the answer is no..."

"... but radiologists who do AI will replace radiologists who don't." Curtis Langlotz in 2017





AI in Medical Imaging: Opportunities





AI in Medical Imaging: Opportunities

- Machine learning techniques are starting to reach levels of human performance in challenging visual tasks
- Big data is slowly arriving in medical imaging

UK Biobank will provide large-scale imaging data from 100,000 subjects





AI in Medical Imaging: Opportunities

- Machine learning techniques are starting to reach levels of human performance in challenging visual tasks
- Big data is slowly arriving in medical imaging

UK Biobank will provide large-scale imaging data from 100,000 subjects



AI in Medical Imaging: Challenges



- Al or machine learning can be classified into:
 - Unsupervised approaches
 - Supervised approaches (most successful to date)



Training data is key

Supervision - model optimisation

Al in Medical Imaging: Challenges



- How to obtain training data?
- Training data is expensive:
 - manpower, cost, time
 - years of training and expertise required
- Training data is imperfect:
 - training data may be wrongly labelled, e.g. for diseases such as Alzheimer's confirmation requires pathology (difficult and costly to obtain)





More importantly, radiology tasks are not simple classification tasks but far more complex

Overview









MR image acquisition: Challenges

- Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)
 - MRI acquisition is inherently a slow process
 - Slow acquisition is
 - ok for static objects (e.g. brain, bones, etc)
 - problematic for moving objects (e.g. heart, liver, fetus)
 - Options for MRI acquisition:
 - real-time MRI: fast, but 2D and relatively poor image quality
 - gated MRI: fine for period motion, e.g. respiration or cardiac motion but requires gating (ECG or navigators) leading to long acquisition times (30-90 min).



Example: Cardiac imaging





Right Ventricle



 MRI acquisition is performed in k-space by sequentitraversing sampling trajectories.





• MRI acquisition is performed in k-space by sequentially traversing sampling trajectories.



K-space

 $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{.\}$

Signal space







$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{.\}$

Signal space







 MRI acquisition is performed in k-space by sequential There is significant spatio-temporal redundancy traversing sampling trajectories.

t = T

K-space



K-space undersampling

 Acquiring a fraction of k-space <u>accelerates</u> the process but introduces <u>aliasing</u> in signal space.



K-space undersampling

 Acquiring a fraction of k-space <u>accelerates</u> the process but introduces <u>aliasing</u> in signal space.











Deep Cascade of CNNs for MRI Reconstruction





Deep Cascade of CNNs for MRI Reconstruction





Magnitude reconstruction (6-fold)



Reconstructions using ML



Magnitude reconstruction (11-fold)



(a) 11x Undersampled

(b) DLTG

(c) CNN

(d) Ground Truth

Reconstructions using ML

Overview











Goal: Do this in real-time on images straight from US machine



- Potential applications:
 - Guidance: Assist inexperienced sonographers





- Potential applications:
 - Guidance: Assist inexperienced sonographers
 - Convenience: Automatically make a check list of visited planes





- Potential applications:
 - Guidance: Assist inexperienced sonographers
 - Convenience: Automatically make a check list of visited planes
 - Reproducibility: Reduce
 variability between operators



• Fully convolutional neural network:



- Very fast
- Very accurate

C. Baumgartner et al. MICCAI 2016, IEEE-TMI 2017



 We use very large 2D ultrasound dataset consisting of *images* of standard views and *videos*

> > Annotated "freeze frames" saved by operator (typically 30 images)

- Data from
 - 2700 patients
 - Between 1200 and 4800 images for each standard plane

Demo





Automatic Standard Scan Plane Detection: Localisation



Localisation is (almost) for free in this framework!

C. Baumgartner et al. MICCAI 2016, IEEE-TMI 2017

Automatic Standard Scan Plane Detection: Localisation



 Can also identify which regions of a frame caused it to make a particular prediction



 This can be used for localisation of the fetal anatomy without having bounding boxes for training

C. Baumgartner et al. MICCAI 2016, IEEE-TMI 2017

Demo





Overview









Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Segmentation







Image segmentation as a machine learning problem



- Fully connected networks (Long et al., 2015)
- Manual annotations of <u>4,872 subjects</u> (QMUL/Oxford) with <u>93,128 pixelwise annotated 2D images</u> slices
- Divided into training/validation/test: 3,972/300/600





SA, basal

SA, mid-ventricular

SA, apical



LA, 2 chamber

LA, 4 chamber



Evaluation of segmentation accuracy Comparison to expert observers

(a) Absolute difference				
	Auto vs Man	O1 vs O2	O2 vs O3	O3 vs O1
	(n = 600)	(n = 50)	(n = 50)	(n = 50)
LVEDV (mL)	$6.1_{\pm 5.3}$	$6.1_{\pm 4.4}$	$8.8_{\pm 4.8}$	$4.8_{\pm 3.1}$
LVESV (mL)	$5.3_{\pm 4.9}$	$4.1_{\pm 4.2}$	$6.7_{\pm 4.2}$	$7.1_{\pm 3.8}$
LVM (gram)	$6.9_{\pm 5.5}$	$4.2_{\pm 3.2}$	$6.6_{\pm 4.9}$	$6.5_{\pm 4.8}$
RVEDV (mL)	$8.5_{\pm 7.1}$	$11.1_{\pm 7.2}$	$6.2_{\pm 4.6}$	$8.7_{\pm 5.8}$
RVESV (mL)	$7.2_{\pm 6.8}$	$15.6_{\pm 7.8}$	$6.6_{\pm 5.5}$	$11.7_{\pm 6.9}$
	(b) Relative difference			
	Auto vs Man	O1 vs O2	O2 vs O3	O3 vs O1
	(n = 600)	(n = 50)	(n = 50)	(n = 50)
LVEDV $(\%)$	$4.1_{\pm 3.5}$	$4.2_{\pm 3.1}$	$6.3_{\pm 3.3}$	$3.4_{\pm 2.2}$
LVESV $(\%)$	$9.5_{\pm 9.5}$	$6.8_{\pm 7.5}$	$12.5_{\pm 8.5}$	$11.7_{\pm 5.1}$
LVM $(\%)$	$8.3_{\pm 7.6}$	$4.4_{\pm 3.3}$	$6.0_{\pm 3.7}$	$6.7_{\pm 4.6}$
RVEDV (%)	$5.6_{\pm 4.6}$	$8.0_{\pm 5.0}$	$4.2_{\pm 3.1}$	$5.7_{\pm 3.6}$
RVESV $(\%)$	$11.8_{\pm 12.2}$	$30.6_{\pm 15.5}$	$10.9_{\pm 8.3}$	$16.9_{\pm 9.2}$
	Automated		Manual	



DeepMedic in Action



K. Kamnitsas et al. Medical Image Analysis, 2016



DeepMedic in Action



K. Kamnitsas et al. Medical Image Analysis, 2016





Transfer learnings for CNNs Using adversarial learning



Scanner A/Scanner B

K. Kamnitsas et al. IPMI 2017, arXiv:1612.08894



Summary and Conclusions

 Al already plays a crucial role in Image acquisition and reconstruction Validation is challenging incations of Ar in computer-aided detection and decision support have Requires collaboration between computer been limit scientists, engineers and clinicians Truly intelligent comp diagnosis requires - Learning from unlab Optimisation of imaging pipeline with respect population data to clinically useful information Integration of imaging and non-imaging information, e.g. clinical records and genetics



Current state-of-the-art









Big data (population data)

Multi-modal data

Acknowledgements

Ben Glocker **Bernhard Kainz** Wenjia Bai Matthew Sinclair Giacomo Tarroni Ozan Oktay Martin Rajchl Aaron M. Lee Nay Aung Elena Lukaschuk Mihir M. Sanghvi Filip Zemrak

Kenneth Fung Jose Miguel Paiva Valentina Carapella Young Jin Kim Hideaki Suzuki Paul M. Matthews Steffen E. Petersen Stefan K. Piechnik Stefan Neubauer Enzo Ferrante Steven McDonagh **Ghislain Vaillant**

Jo Hajnal Jose Caballero Christian Ledig Christian Baumgartner Kostas Kamnitsas Tong Tong Wenzhe Shi Martin Rajchl Jo Schlemper Carlo Biffi Nick Pawlowski Matthew Lee

This research has been conducted mainly using the UKBB Resource under Application Number 2946. The initial stage of the research was conducted using the UKBBResource under Application Number 18545.





Acknowledgements



Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council



European Research Council







European Commission Information Society and Media



NHS National Institute for Health Research



NVIDIA



Imperial College London