

Social and Behavioural Group

16 June 2020

Chair: Professor Heidi van Rooyen

Key social and behavioural strategies

- Social science data collection informed responses
 - Experiences of lockdown
 - Risk factor communication/public health messaging
 - Behavioural responses: hand-washing, masks, social distancing, home working
 - Need for social and mental health support
- Non-pharmaceutical interventions are behavioural interventions

Facilitators of social/behavioural responses

- Public support for government measures
- Social trust/solidarity (waning over time)
- Influence of respected community health workers ('warriors')
- Advisory groups including social scientists, informing communications and response
- Strong public health messaging, use of media; evidence-informed comms
- Creative use of communication tools (e.g. musicians, influencers); apps
- Decentralised responses (esp. large countries)

Facilitators of social/behavioural responses

- Community-based support systems
 - Collaboration with NGOs (e.g. mental health support)
 - Food distribution systems/addressing food security
- Community behaviour and resilience
 - conversion of spaces into quarantine areas
 - e.g. spitting in public and wearing of masks
- Engage communities in development of longer-term strategies

Challenges and barriers

Economic factors

- Environmental challenges e.g. urban slums
- Economic consequences e.g. of lockdown

Lockdown challenges

- Negative psychological impacts; lockdown fatigue
- Increased domestic violence
- Complex guidelines during rollback of lockdown
- Lack of experience among enforcers of lockdown

Social challenges

- Stigma – delay in health seeking
- Misinformation/fake news – leading to mistrust

Challenges and barriers

Policy responses

- Divergent guidelines (central, state-level)
- Political volatility/lack of coordination
- Harsh implementation of lockdown rules (human rights)
- Resource shortages

Evidence challenges

- Limited evidence in some areas (e.g. mask wearing)
- Focus on biomedical rather than social sciences

Factors affecting adoption into policy

- Existing multidisciplinary advisory bodies
- Need stronger and broader social science representation
- Political and public engagement by social scientists: visibility
- Rapid evidence reviews/synthesis and policy briefs
- Turning policy issues into research questions
- Data collection through online surveys
- Academic independence in advisory bodies

Possible priority areas for research

Impacts

- Young children, unborn children; e.g. changes in maternal health-seeking behaviour, child interactions
- Mental health What measures are most effective? (e.g. masks, other behavioural responses)

Behavioural responses

- Impacts on health-seeking behaviours, other conditions
- Substance abuse behaviour
- How age or other factors affect behavioural responses
- Achieving behaviour change in different ages/demographic/social groups

Lockdown learning

- How to effectively manage lockdown rollbacks
- Drawbacks of strict lockdowns

Possible priority areas for research

- Contextualising responses to different settings, populations, health contexts
- How to integrate COVID-19 and other health needs
- Community adaptation mechanisms and resilience
- Pandemic anxiety
- Transitioning into the 'new normal'
- Communication and behavioural messaging
- Social determinants and impact on health behaviours
 - Race, discrimination and historic trauma
 - Deepening impacts on marginalised/indigenous

Strengthen social science response

- Multidisciplinary, international collaborations
- Innovative strategies for rapid research and dissemination
- Science and medical academies to promote interdisciplinary, comparative studies
- International online networks (e.g. behavioural science)