

Annual diversity report 2017/18

The report presents diversity data on the following activity areas:

- 1. Governance and advisory groups
- 2. Fellowship
- 3. Grant schemes
- 4. Career development programmes
- 5. Policy
- 6. Communications and corporate affairs
- 7. Human resources

Each section begins with brief highlights and information on areas of improvement or concern, then provides a top level summary of key statistics followed by a full list of data collected.



Overview

This is the fourth year the Academy has created a report on diversity across all Academy programmes, and the second year that the report has been published externally. The report has been expanded each year, initially only reporting on gender and now covering gender, ethnicity and disability. In addition our work programmes explore place/region and increasingly socio-economic background, but we do not collect data on these factors.

The type of diversity data we report and the way we collect and record it has also developed over the years. We are still working to standardise information collection across the Academy and we continue to evolve our practice and processes.

Benchmarking

Amongst comparator organisations, the Royal Society and the Learned Society of Wales publish annual diversity data reports. The Learned Society of Wales reports that of their Fellows in the fields of science, technology, engineering, mathematics and medicine, 11% are female as of 2017 (out of a total Fellowship across all disciplines of around 500). For the Royal Society as of 2017, the total UK Fellowship was 8% female (125 women) and 92% male (1347 men), with 15% female (70 women) and 85% male (398 men) for UK Fellows elected since 2008. Sector-wide, the Academy uses HESA data from the Equality Challenge Unit (ECU) report: <u>'Equality in higher education: statistical report 2018'</u> for context and comparison.

Gender

Council previously agreed that this diversity report should 'red flag' any activities where gender diversity falls below 30% women. The Academy is making good progress on gender equality across its policy, careers, and corporate affairs work, with more than 30% female representation the norm rather than the exception.

Ethnicity

It is clear from the report that BAME people are underrepresented in all of the Academy's activities. Last year's report highlighted that the data held was not adequate to set any kid of flag or benchmark for ethnicity, and this still remains. We are developing suggestions of work to be undertaken to address the issue of underrepresentation from BAME people across the Fellowship, grants and careers programmes.

Notes

- All the data reported was accurate as of August 2018.
- This data covers the period from 1 September 2017 to 31 August 2018.
- Definitions
 - PSD = prefer to self-define
 - PNS prefer not to say
 - BAME = Black, Asian and minority ethnic (includes people who identify as mixed race)

This report is presented by the Academy's Diversity Champions - Professor Dame Jessica Corner DBE FMedSci and Professor Jim Smith FRS FMedSci – and Nick Hillier, Director of Communications with data collected by staff across the organisation.



1. Governance and advisory groups

- The Academy has strong female representation across its governance committees, which compares particularly well with sector averages.
- Across all the Academy's governance committees, none fell below the Academy's red flag threshold of less than 30% female representation.
- The percentage of women on any one committee was not less than 40%. This represents a significant achievement.
- Data on ethnicity across our governance and advisory committees is still lacking, and we need to put more systems in place to collect diversity information from non Fellows who sit on these committees.

Summary information

	% F	% M	% white	% BAME	% pns / other	% no info.
Academy Governance committees	58	42	84	0	5	11
All advisory committees 2018 (Finance, Fellowship & Mentoring,)	52	48	72	8	0	20

Additional breakdown

*ethnicity shown in numbers not %

	F	М	% F	% M	white	BAME	pns / other	no info.
Governance committe	ees							
Council 2016	8	13	38	62	18	0	1	0
Council 2017	8	13	38	62	18	0	1	0
Council 2018	11	8	58	42	16	0	1	2
Officers 2016	2	4	33	67	6	0		
Officers 2017	2	4	33	67	6	0		
Officers 2018	4	3	57	43	7	0	0	0
Advisory committees								
Finance Committee 2016	3	3	50	50				
Finance Committee 2017	3	3	50	50				
Finance Committee 2018	4	2	67	33	4	0	0	2
Fellows Committee 2017	4	6	40	60				
Fellows Committee 2018	5	5	50	50	9	1	0	0
Mentoring advisory group 2016	4	6	40	50				
Mentoring advisory group 2017	4	5	44	56				
Mentoring advisory group 2018	4	5	44	56	5	1	0	3



2. Fellowship

- In 2018 we elected less women than in 2017 (33% verses 37%). It is disappointing that the upward trend has dipped slightly. However the number of women candidates in the pool for the 2019 election has risen by 1%. Sustained efforts will be required to increase the gender balance in the candidate pool significantly.
- The gender balance of Fellows elected in the last 5 years now stands at 34%.
- The number of women sectional committee members has risen to its highest level of 41%, with sectional committee chairs now at 50%.
- Within the Academy Fellowship, the underrepresentation of people from BAME backgrounds is worrying. For those from any black background it is particularly worrying, with 4 people out 1033 identifying as Black African, Black Caribbean or Black Other, and nobody from the 1033 Fellows for whom ethnicity data is recorded identifying as Black British.
- Nominations of BAME candidates remains a problem and more efforts are needed in this area.
- 8% of the Fellowship regard themselves as having a disability.

Summary milormation			1				
	% F	% M	%	%	%	%pns	% no
			other /	white	BAME	or	info.
			no info			other	
Total Fellowship	18	81	1	74	6	2	18
Fellowship (clinical)	12	86	4	73	6	1	19
Fellowship (non-clinical)	24	75	1	76	4	2	17
Founder Fellows 1998	7	93	0				
Fellows elected 1999- 2003	17	83	0				
Fellows elected 2004- 2008	17	83	0				
Fellows elected 2009- 2013	22	78	0				
Fellows elected 2014- 2018	34	66	0				
2017 Fellows elected	37	63	0	91	8	1	0
2018 Fellows elected	33	67	0	94	4	2	0
						_	
2018 all Candidates	28	72	0	85	10	1	4
2019 all Candidates	29	71	0	84	11	1	4
2018 new candidates	33	67	0	81	15	1	3
2019 new candidates	29	71	0	80	14	1	5

Summary information

Additional breakdown

*ethnicity shown in numbers not %

	F	М	% F	% M	white	BAME	pns / other	no info.
Candidates								
New candidates 2016	38	73	34	66	97	4	1	



New candidates 2017	34	87	28	72	110	6	0	
New candidates 2018	42	84	33	67	98	11	12	
New candidates 2019	33	79	29	71	89	15	1	6
Total candidates 2016	88	268	25	75	270	14	6	
Total candidates 2017	100	281	26	74	318	17	5	
Total candidates 2018	114	296	28	72	347	24	30	
Total candidates 2019	121	292	29	71	349	45	3	16
Sectional Committees								
Sectional Committee members 2016	31	53	37	63				
Sectional Committee Chairs 2016	3	4	43	57				
Sectional Committee members 2017	31	52	37	63				
Sectional Committee Chairs 2017	3	4	43	57				
Sectional Committee members 2018	37	52	41	58	79	4	3	4
Sectional Committee Chairs 2018	4	4	50	50	7	0	0	1
Peer review								
Peer review invited 2017	130	463	22	78				
Peer review provided 2017	87	308	22	78				



3. Grant schemes

- None of the Academy's grants schemes have been red flagged for less than 30% women applying or awarded.
- Across all UK and international grants, the rates at which people from different groups (gender, ethnicity, disability) apply for Academy grants are not significantly different from the rates at which they get accepted.
- Across the Academy's UK grants panels, there were a total of 38% women. Only one UK grant panel, Starter Grants for Clinical Lecturers, fell below the Academy's red flag threshold of 30% female representation.
- The Academy also collected data on whether or not international grant applicants had a disability. Of the 634 applicants, 11 declared a disability (2%), 203 had no disability (88%), 16 preferred not to say (3%) and data was not collected for 51 applicants (8%).
- There is a need to collect better ethnicity information for non Fellows sitting on grant panels.

	% F	% M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% pns / other	% no info.
All grants (UK and International) awarded	39	59	2	51	32	9	9
All grants (UK and International) applied	38	61	1	50	37	8	6
All grants (UK and International) panels	44	56	0	59	1	1	40
UK grants awarded	48	51	1	69	25	6	0
UK grants applied	46	54	0	73	22	5	0
UK grant panels	38	62	0	69	0	3	28
International grants awarded	34	64	2	41	34	12	13
International grants applied	35	64	1	42	41	9	8
International grant panels	49	51	0	51	0	0	49

Summary information

	F	М	%F	%M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% pns / other	% no info.
Grant schemes UK									
Starter grants for clinical lectures round 18 applicants	22	32	41	59	0	56	37	7	0
Starter grants for clinical lectures round 18 awards	10	16	38	62	0	58	38	4	0
Starter grants for clinical lectures round 19 applicants	18	35	34	66	0	70	23	8	0
Starter grants for clinical lectures round 19 awards	11	14	44	56	0	68	20	12	0
Springboard Round 3 applicants	57	48	54	45	1	83	14	3	0
Springboard Round 3 awards	19	12	59	38	3	78	19	3	0
Springboard Round 3 HEI champions	11	22	22	43	35	49	8	43	0
Springboard Round HEI champions	13	20	25	39	35	49	8	43	0
Grant panel - Starter grants	2	7	22	78	0	89	0	11	0
Grant panel - Springboard Round 3	5	6	45	55	0	73	0	27	0
Grant panel - INSPIRE	4	5	44	56	0	44	0	56	0

Grant schemes International									
NAF Newton advanced fund Round 6	4	12	25	75	0	0	0	0	100
(Mexico, South Africa, Brazil)					•	Ū	•	Ũ	
applicants									
NAF Newton advanced fund Round 6	0	5	0	100	0	0	0	0	100
(Mexico, South Africa, Brazil)	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
awards									
NAF Newton advanced fund Round 7	0	3	0	100	0	0	0	0	100
(China) applicants	Ŭ	5	Ũ	100	Ū	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	100
NAF Newton advanced fund Round 7	0	3	0	100	0	0	0	0	100
(China) awards	Ŭ	5	Ũ	100	Ū	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	100
NAF Newton advanced fund Round 8	7	9	44	56	0	0	0	0	100
(Mexico, South Africa, Brazil)	,			50	U	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	100
applicants									
NAF Newton advanced fund Round 8	3	1	75	25	0	0	0	0	100
(Mexico, South Africa, Brazil)	5	1	/ 5	25	U	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	100
awards									
NIF Newton international fund	11	5	69	31	0	0	0	0	100
Round 4 applicants		5	05	51	U	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	100
NIF Newton international fund	4	7	36	64	0	0	0	0	100
Round 4 awards	-	· /	50	04	0	0	0	0	100
AMR UK/India scheme round 1	0	8	0	100	0	100	0	0	0
applicants	0	0	U	100	0	100	0	0	0
AMR UK/India scheme round 1	0	4	0	100	0	100	0	0	0
awards	0	4	0	100	0	100	0	0	0
Turnberg Round 10 applicants	26	23	53	47	0	41	37	22	0
Turnberg Round 10 awards	10	15	40	60	0	48	32	20	0
GCRF Networking Round 1	57	69	40	53	3	71	23	6	0
applicants - UK partners	57	09	44	55	5	/1	25	0	0
GCRF Networking Round 1	36	94	28	72	0	21	62	18	0
applicants - international partners	30	94	20	12	0	21	02	10	0
GCRF Networking Round 1	16	21	40	53	8	70	23	8	0
awardees - UK partners	10	21	40	55	0	70	25	0	0
GCRF Networking Round 1	12	28	30	70	0	28	53	20	0
awardees - international partners	12	20	50	70	0	20	55	20	0
GCRF Networking Round 2	49	83	37	62	1	68	28	5	0
	49	05	57	02	L L	00	20	5	0
applicants - UK partners GCRF Networking Round 2	32	99	24	74	2	21	74	5	0
5	52	99	24	74	2	21	74	5	0
applicants - international partners GCRF Networking Round 2	5	14	25	70	5	25	70	5	0
awardees - international partners	5	14	25	70	5	23	70	5	0
GCRF Networking Round 2	9	11	45	55	0	60	40	0	0
	9	11	45	55	0	60	40	0	0
awardees -UK partners	4	2	F 7	42	0	71	0	0	20
Grant panel - Turnberg	4	3	57	43	0	71	0	0	29
Grant panel - Newton NIF	2	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	0
Grant panel - Newton NAF	1	1	50	50	0	50	0	0	50
Grant panel - AMR	3	5	38	63	0	50	0	0	50
Grant panel - GCRF networking	5	7	42	58	0	33	0	0	67
round 1	+	╞╼──		F.		50		0	50
Grant panel - GCRF networking	5	5	50	50	0	50	0	0	50
round 2									



4. Career development programmes

- Across all the Academy careers programmes, there are only three areas that were flagged as falling below the Academy's 30% threshold for female representation. This is a significant achievement given the size and scale of the programmes.
- Academy mentors are 26% female, however given that mentors are almost always Academy Fellows, and the total pool of Academy Fellows is only 18% female (as discussed above), this represents a significant achievement in gender diversity across the Academy's Careers work.
- Plans are underway to improve the ethnicity data of attendees at our careers events.

Summary information

	% F	% M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% mixed	% pns or other
All Mentors (standard and SUSTAIN)	26	72	2	74	6	2	18
All mentees (standard and SUSTAIN)	40	54	6				
All career development events (attendees and speakers)	37	28	35				

	F	М	%F	%M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% pns / other	% no info.
Mentors	124	342	26	72	2	74	6	2	18
Mentees	335	429	38	49	13	9	2	0	89
Mentoring skills workshops 2018 (attendees)	22	20	38	34	28				100
Careers events 2018 (attendees)	30	12	27	11	62				100
Careers events 2018 (speakers)	7	8	47	53	0				100
INSPIRE sharing conference (speakers)	3	3	50	50	0	33	0	0	67
INSPIRE sharing conference (attendees)	9	14	20	31	49				100
Team Science two years on (speakers)	5	3	63	38	0	63	0	0	38
Team Science two years on (attendees)	36	23	61	39	0				100
CATAC 2018 (steering committee)	4	6	40	60	0	60	10	0	30
CATAC 2018 (abstract submitted)	62	67	46	50	4				100
Winter Meeting 2017 (abstract submitted)	22	31	42	58	0				100
Winter Meeting 2017 (abstract accepted)	22	31	42	58	0				100
Winter Meeting 2017 (oral comp)	6	6	50	50	0				100
Winter Meeting 2017 (posters)	13	20	39	61	0				100
Winter Meeting 2017 (judges)	9	4	69	31	0	85	8	0	8
Mentoring advisory group 2018	4	5	44	56	0	56	11	0	33
Leadership task force	4	4	50	50	0	63	0	0	38



5. Policy

- Last year our policy work received the highest number of red flags across the programme. This year, across a bigger programme, only one event received a red flag. This represents a significant investment in gender parity across Academy's policy work and a significant effort from the team.
- The number of women speaking at FORUM events has increased year on year for the past 3 years with this year's programme reaching an all-time high of 43% women speakers.
- As mentioned above, new systems are now in place to increase the amount of ethnicity data we can collect from event attendees.
- For 6 of the 8 working/oversight/steering groups, the Academy held ethnicity data for less than half of the committee members. This represents a significant data collection gap and mechanisms need to be put in place to collect this information.

	% F	% M	% white	% BAME	% mixed	% pns or other
All policy Working, Oversight or Steering groups	45	55				
All policy event attendees	48	52				
All policy events speakers	49	51				
FORUM event attendees	46	54				
FORUM event speakers	43	57				
Medical Science Policy event attendees	47	53				
Medical Science Policy event speakers	45	55				
International policy event attendees	48	52	5	34	0	61
International policy event speakers	53	47	10	40	1	45

Summary information

	F	М	%F	%M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% pns / other	% no info.
Working/Oversight/Steering gro	ups					-	-	-	
Multimorbidity WG	5	11	31	69	0	31	19	0	50
International - Addressing the global challenge of obesity SG	4	6	40	60	0	50	0	0	50
International - Early intervention and diagnosis in paediatric neurodevelopment SG	5	1	83	17	0	17	0	0	83
International - Challenges and priorities for global mental health research in low- and middle- income countries SG	3	5	38	63	0	0	13	0	88
International - Advancing research to tackle multimorbidity: the UK and LMIC perspectives SG	1	2	33	67	0	67	0	0	33
International - Joint UK-Japan symposium on medical imaging and artificial intelligence SG	0	2	0	100	0	50	0	0	50

The Academy of Medical Sciences

Developing brain SG	3	3	50	50	0	50	17	0	33
Data and emerging healthcare	8	5	62	38	0	38	0	0	62
technologies									
FORUM events									
FORUM - Personalised psychiatry	0	3	0	100	0	33	0	0	67
(speakers)									
FORUM - Personalised psychiatry	15	17	47	53	0				100
(attendees)		_							
FORUM - Bridging the preclinical-	7	7	50	50	0	36	0	0	64
clinical boundary (speakers)			- 10	= 1	-				100
FORUM - Bridging the preclinical-	27	28	49	51	0				100
clinical boundary (attendees) FORUM - Early detection and	5	11	31	69	0	19	0	0	81
diagnosis in cancer (speakers)	5	11	51	09	0	19	0	0	01
FORUM - Early detection and	33	42	44	56	0				100
diagnosis in cancer (attendees)	55	72		50	Ŭ				100
FORUM - Real world evidence	4	2	67	33	0	33	0	0	67
scoping roundtable (speakers)			_				_	_	
FORUM - Real world evidence	14	22	39	61	0				100
scoping roundtable (attendees)									
FORUM networking event	3	2	60	40	0	60	0	0	40
(speakers)									
FORUM networking event	23	24	49	51	0				100
(attendees)	<u> </u>								
Medical Science Policy (MSP) ev		1 -	140	50		22			
Controlled Human Infection Model	5	7	42	58	0	33			67
Studies workshop (speakers) Controlled Human Infection Model	14	20	41	50	0				100
Studies workshop (attendees)	14	20	41	59	0				100
Evidence synthesis: a tool for	2	1	67	33	0				100
policymaking (joint event)	Z	L L	07	55	0				100
(speakers)									
Evidence synthesis: a tool for	6	7	46	54	0				100
policymaking (joint event)	Ū	1		5.	Ũ				100
(attendees)									
Ensuring synthesised evidence is	3	7	30	70	0	10			90
available for policy-making (joint									
event) (speakers)		_							
Ensuring synthesised evidence is	13	10	57	43	0				100
available for policy-making (joint									
event) (attendees)	6	2	67	22	~				100
Post-publication of the mental health research framework: a	6	3	67	33	0				100
research funders meeting									
(attendees)									
Party conferences (Labour,	22	29	43	57	0				100
Conservative, Lib Dems) (joint				5,	Ũ				100
events) (attendees)									
Party conferences (Labour,	8	11	42	58	0				100
Conservative, Lib Dems) (joint			1						
events) (speakers)									
Roundtable on Grand Challenges:	3	2	60	40					100
an ageing society (speakers)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+					
Roundtable on Grand Challenges:	12	13	48	52	0				100
an ageing society (attendees)		-	-	-					100
Fresh case for investment	2	2	50	50					100
workshop (speakers) Fresh case for investment	6	0	40	60	0				100
workshop (attendees)	6	9	40	60	0				100
Science moves - the importance of	2	1	67	33	1				100
international mobility (joint event)	2	1	07	55					100
		1							



Science moves - the importance of international mobility (joint event) (attendees)	15	13	54	46	0				100
International policy events									
International - Addressing the global challenge of obesity (speakers)	8	8	50	50	0	19	81	0	0
International - Addressing the global challenge of obesity (attendees)	40	21	66	34	0	2	98	0	0
International - Early intervention and diagnosis in paediatric neurodevelopment (speakers)	14	10	58	42	0	0	0	0	100
International - Early intervention and diagnosis in paediatric neurodevelopment (attendees)	20	13	61	39	0	0	0	0	100
International - Challenges and priorities for global mental health research in low- and middle- income countries (speakers)	12	11	52	48	0	17	78	4	0
International - Challenges and priorities for global mental health research in low- and middle- income countries (attendees)	21	22	49	51	0	30	70	0	0
International - Advancing research to tackle multimorbidity: the UK and LMIC perspectives (speakers)	5	3	63	37	0	13	0	0	50
International - advancing research to tackle multimorbidity: the UK and LMIC perspectives (attendees)	30	37	45	55	0	0	0	0	100
International - Joint UK-Japan symposium on medical imaging and artificial intelligence (speakers)	2	5	29	71	0	0	0	0	100
International - Joint UK-Japan symposium on medical imaging and artificial intelligence (attendees)	18	45	29	71	0	0	0	0	100



6. Communications and Corporate Affairs

- Combined, attendees at corporate events have presented an even gender balance. This is a significant achievement given our largely male Fellowship and show great engagement from our women Fellows.
- The gender balance for the named lectures is improving with all falling just under the 30% red flag.
- Most of the red flags for less than 30% women fall across the Academy's regional events and indicate a need for Regional champions to be supported in their role regarding increasing diversity.

Summary information

	% F	% M	%	%	%	%
			white	BAME	mixed	pns or
						other
All corporate event speakers						
2018	42	58				
All corporate event attendees						
2018	49	51				
All media work	78	22				
AMS spokespeople quoted	57	43				

	F	М	%F	%M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% pns / other	% no info.
Talks and lectures				-				-	
New Fellows admission day 2018	2	2	50	50	0	100	0	0	0
(speakers)									
Named Lectures - Shanks 2017/18	1	0	100	0	0				100
Named Lectures - Shanks (total speakers to date)	5	12	29	71	0				100
Named Lectures - Sackler 2017/18	1	0	100	0	0				100
Named Lectures - Sackler (total speakers to date)	4	11	27	73	0				100
Named Lecturer - International 2017/18	1	0	100	0	0				100
Named Lectures - International (total speakers to date)	4	10	29	71	0				100
Named Lecturer - FORUM 2017/18	0	1	0	100					100
Named Lectures - FORUM (total speakers to date)	4	10	29	71	0				100
Named Lectures - FORUM (2017/18) panellists	2	2	50	50	0				100
Named Lectures - Shanks (2017/18) attendees	66	87	43	57	0				100
Named Lectures - Sackler (2017/18) attendees	36	5	88	12	0				100
Named Lectures - FORUM (2017/8) attendees	61	67	48	52	0				100
Press officers and public trust (joint event with STEMPRA) (speakers)	3	2	60	40	0	20	0	0	80
AMS Live (speakers)	3	2	60	40	0	40	40	0	20
Regional Champions									
Regional Champions 2016	5	5	50	50	0				

The Academy of Medical Sciences

Regional Champions 2017	5	5	50	50	0				
Regional Champions 2017	4	5	44	56	0	100	0	0	0
	4	4	50			0			
Regional champions events 2017/18 (speakers) - South West				50	0	•	0	0	100
Regional champions events 2017/18 (speakers) - South East (Oxford dinner)	0	3	0	100	0	50	0	0	50
Regional champions events	1	4	20	80	0	0	0	0	100
2017/18 (speakers) - South East (Southampton conference keynote lecturers)									
Regional champions events 2017/18 (speakers) - North East	2	5	29	71	0	71	0	0	29
Regional champions events 2017/18 (speakers) - North West	0	2	0	100	0				100
Regional champions events 2017/18 (speakers) - Midlands	2	5	29	71	0	43	0	0	57
Regional champions events 2017/18 (speakers) - East Anglia	3	2	60	40	0	60	0	0	40
Regional champions events 2017/18 (attendees) - South East (Oxford dinner)	9	34	21	79	0				100
Regional champions events 2017/18 (attendees) - North East	44	29	60	40	0				100
Regional champions events 2017/18 (attendees) - North West	11	12	48	52	0				100
Regional champions events 2017/18 (attendees) - East Anglia	26	27	49	51	0				100
Horizon scanning - Édinburgh (attendees)	7	20	29	83	0				100
Horizon scanning - Birmingham (attendees)	4	17	19	81	0				100
Horizon scanning - Leeds (attendees)	9	12	43	57	0				100
Horizon scanning - Cambridge (attendees)	12	13	48	52	0				100
Horizon scanning - Brighton (attendees)	2	5	29	71	0				100
Horizon scanning - Manchester (attendees)	10	10	50	50	0				100
Fundraising and networking eve	ents			-					
Horizon scanning all (attendees)	44	77	36	64	0				100
Helix Group Reception (attendees)	10	41	19	79	2	73	0	2	25
Helix Group Reception (speakers)	1 5	2 9	33	67	0	33	0	0	67
Gillings dinner	5	2	36	64	0				100
Turnberg reception (speakers)	39	2	33 58	67 42	0				100 100
Turnberg reception (attendees) Thank you and networking party	91	38	71	29	0				100
Communications work	91	50	/1	29	0			l	100
MedSciLife profiles	5	2	71	29	0	29	14	14	43
Media - Fellows and ECRs media training	27	2	93	7	0	50	6	6	39
Media - all ECRs media trained to date	52	0	100	0	0	48	13	4	35
Media - press briefing panellists	3	8	27	73	0	27	0	0	73
Media - BBC showcase	11	0	100	0	0	64	18	0	18
Media - spokespeople put forward (proactive)	22	12	65	35	0				100
Media - spokespeople put forward (reactive)	9	9	50	50	0				100



Media - spokespeople quoted (anywhere, proactive)	12	9	57	43	0				100
Media - spokespeople quoted (anywhere, reactive)	1	1	50	50	0	100	0	0	0
Media - SMC database sign ups	27	4	87	13	0				100



7. Human resources

- Women are represented at 70-80% at all levels of the Academy staff. The data indicates that men are less likely to apply for jobs at the Academy and this could be cause for concern.
- Staff are 14% BAME.
- During the time period of this report, 27% of job applications came from BAME people, 18% of these were shortlisted and none were recruited. This is the second year in a row that the % of BAME applicants has not matched those recruited.

Summary information

	% F	% M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% mixed	% pns or other
Academy staff (total)	66	26	8	76	13	11	21

	F	М	%F	%M	% not know	% white	% BAME	% pns / other	% no info.
Academy staff (Level 3 - Officer)	9	4	69	31	0	77	15	8	46
Academy staff (Level 2 - Manager)	9	5	64	36	0	93	7	0	14
Academy staff (Level 1 - Head)	4	1	80	20	0	50	17	0	33
Academy staff SMT	4	1	80	20	0	60	0	0	40
Academy staff (total)	26	11	70	30	0	76	13	11	21
Academy staff recruitment - applications	85	30	74	26	0	73	23	4	0
Academy staff recruitment - shortlisted	30	9	77	23	0	82	18	0	0
Academy staff recruitment - appointed	9	2	82	18	0	100	0	0	0
Policy interns (Wellcome and MRC) - applications	16	5	76	24	0				
Policy interns (Wellcome and MRC) - shortlisted	11	5	69	31	0				
Policy interns (Wellcome and MRC) - appointed	6	2	75	25	0				
Work experience students* not included in recruitment totals	3	1	75	25	0	60	40	0	